	UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF M	-			
STEV	EN J. MALKE, Plaintiff)	i. Jit. Ji. Gunza		
	ISCONTINENTAL REFRIGERATED , INC. Defendant)) Civil Ad)))	ction No. 05-30149-KPN		
SPECIAL VERDICT					
We, the jury in the above entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us.					
Q.1. Has the plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant was negligent?					
A.1.	YESNO				
If your answer is NO, do not go any further. If your answer is YES, go to Question 2.					
Q.2.	If the defendant was negligent, has the plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that such negligence was a proximate cause of injury to him?				
A.2.	YES NO				
3.	If your answer is NO, do not go any f	urther. If your a	nswer is YES, go to Question		
Q.3.	What is the total amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate plaintiff for damages which he has proven by a preponderance of the evidence were proximately caused by the negligence of the defendant?				

A.3.	\$ Figures		
	Words		
Q.4.	Has the defendant proven by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff was negligent?		
A.4.	YES NO		
	If your answer is NO, go no further. If your answer is YES, go to Question 5.		
Q.5.	If the plaintiff was negligent, has the defendant proven by a preponderance of the evidence that such negligence was a proximate cause of plaintiff's own injury?	.	
A.5.	YES NO		
	If your answer is NO, go no further. If your answer is YES, go to Question 6.		
Q.6.	Assuming the combined negligence of plaintiff and the defendant which proximate caused plaintiff's injury equals 100%, what percentage of such negligence proximately causing plaintiff's injury do you attribute to plaintiff and to defendant		
	Steven Malke %		
	Transcontinental Refrigerated Lines, Inc%		
	TOTAL 100 %		
twelve	I certify that each of the foregoing answers is the answer of at least ten (10) of the (12) deliberating jurors.	ıe	

Foreperson